

Digging for Fold: Synthesis-Aided API Discovery for Haskell

OOPSLA 2021 / 2020

Michael B. James, Zheng Guo, Ziteng Wang, Shivani Doshi, Hila Peleg, Ranjit Jhala, Nadia Polikarpova

Programmers don't want to repeat code themselves



Programmers don't want to repeat code themselves



APIs reduce code repetition















Haskell makes this harder



Haskell makes this harder





 \sim



Search for...

Welcome to Hoogle

Hoogle is a Haskell API search engine, which allows you to search the Haskell libraries on Stackage by either function name, or by approximate type signature.

Example searches: map (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b] Ord a => [a] -> [a] Data.Set.insert +bytestring concat

Enter your own search at the top of the page.

Links

Haskell.org

Hackage

GHC Manual

Libraries



Search



Search for...

Welcome to Hoogle

Hoogle is a Haskell API search engine, which allows you to search the Haskell libraries on Stackage by either function name, or by approximate type signature.

Example searches: map (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b] Ord a => [a] -> [a] Data.Set.insert +bytestring concat

Enter your own search at the top of the page.

Links

Haskell.org

Hackage

GHC Manual

Libraries



Search



Search for...

Welcome to Hoogle

Haskell.org

Links

Hack

GH(

Libr

Hoogle is a Haskell API search engine, which allows you to search the Haskell libraries on Stackage by either function name, or by approximate type signature

But what if you need a composition of functions?

 $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [b]$ Ord a => [a] -> [a]Data.Set.insert +bytestring concat

Enter your own search at the top of the page.





Task: Remove adjacent duplicates

- Task: Remove adjacent duplicates
 - dedup [1,2,1,1] = [1,2,1]

dedup xs = map head (group xs)

- Task: Remove adjacent duplicates
 - dedup [1,2,1,1] = [1,2,1]

- dedup xs = map head (group xs)
 - = map head [[1,1], [2], [1]]

= [1, 2, 1]

- Task: Remove adjacent duplicates
 - dedup [1,2,1,

 - dedup xs = map head (group xs)
 - = map head [[1,1], [2], [1]]

$$1] = [1, 2, 1]$$

dedup :: Eq a => [a] -> [a]

= [1, 2, 1]

Hoogle+

Welcome to the Hoogle+ Demo

Hoogle+ is a type-driven synthesis engine for Haskell - like Hoogle but able to find compositions of functions. Given a Haskell type, Hoogle+ generates terms that inhabit this type by composing library components. It supports polymorphism, type classes, and higher-order functions.



Hoogle+

Welcome to the Hoogle+ Demo

Hoogle+ is a type-driven synthesis engine for Haskell - like Hoogle but able to find compositions of functions. Given a Haskell type, Hoogle+ generates terms that inhabit this type by composing library components. It supports polymorphism, type classes, and higher-order functions.













Specification







Program Synthesis by Type-Guided Abstraction Refinement [Guo et al. 2020]

Hoogle+



Program Synthesis by Type-Guided Abstraction Refinement [Guo et al. 2020]

HOOGIE+ User Study

















Specifying dedup

Type Query



dedup xs = map head (group xs)

Specifying dedup

Type Query



dedup xs = map head (group xs)

dedup [1,2,1,1] = [1,2,1]

Specifying dedup **Type Query** Eq a => [a] -> [a] Search Stop



dedup xs = map head (group xs)

dedup [1,2,1,1] = [1,2,1]dedup "00PSLA2020" = "0PSLA2020"

Specifying dedup

Type Query

Challenge: How to infer likely type specifications from tests?

dedup [1,2,1,1] = [1,2,1]dedup "OOPSLA2020" = "OPSLA2020"

Eq a => [a] -> [a]

Challenge: How to infer likely type specifications

composing initially components. It supports polymorphism,

type classes, and higher-order functions.


composing initially components. It supports polymorphism,





composing initially componente. It supports porymorphism,

composing initially components. It supports polymorphism,



composing indiary componente. It supports porymorphism,



composing indiary componente. It supports porymorphism,



$[1,2,1,1] \rightarrow [1,2,1]$

"OOPSLA2020" -> "OPSLA2020"





"OOPSLA2020" -> "OPSLA2020"

[Char] -> [Char]

26











































Ranking types

$[1,2,1,1] \rightarrow [1,2,1]$

$$a \rightarrow a$$

Eq $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a$
Ord $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a$

"OOPSLA2020" -> "OPSLA2020"





$[1,2,1,1] \rightarrow [1,2,1]$

"OOPSLA2020" -> "OPSLA2020"

Ranking types



Types from Tests

Challenge: How to infer likely type specifications from tests?

- 1. Generalized types
- 2. Filter types
- 3. Rank types

Imple Specifications Id Example Clear Examples xs output
xs output 🗢 😋
00PSLA2020" "0PSLA2020"
[1,2,1,1] [1,2,1]
[1,2,1,1] [1,2,1]

Types from Tests

Challenge: How to infer likely type specifications from tests?

- 1. Generalized types
- 2. Filter types
- 3. Rank types

Imple Specifications Id Example Clear Examples xs output
xs output 🗢 😋
00PSLA2020" "0PSLA2020"
[1,2,1,1] [1,2,1]
[1,2,1,1] [1,2,1]



Program Synthesis by Type-Guided Abstraction Refinement [Guo et al. 2020]

HOOGIE+ User Study

Type Query













Type Query

Challenge: How to filter irrelevant programs?



_	-> (hea	ad [])		~	
t	(head	(group	xs))	~	
l	(head	(group	xs))	~	

Filtering Programs - Smallcheck



Test ALL the values!

Smallcheck[†]





Smallcheck[†]





Holds (up to k)

Smallcheck[†]





Holds (up to k)



Smallcheck[†]





Filtering Programs - Hoogle+







Smallcheck[†]



Filtering Programs - Hoogle+







Smallcheck[†]



P1. SOME input produces ANY output

Filtering Properties
Filtering Properties

P1. SOME input produces ANY output

\xs -> (head [])

1

 \sim

Filtering Properties

P1. SOME input produces ANY output



P1. SOME input produces ANY output

Filtering Properties





xs -> (head [])



P1. SOME input produces ANY output

P2. SOME input produces different outputs

Filtering Properties

xs -> (head [])





Challenge: How to filter irrelevant programs?





Challenge: How to filter irrelevant programs?

1. Test to produce output





Challenge: How to filter irrelevant programs?

1. Test to produce output





Challenge: How to filter irrelevant programs?

1. Test to produce output

2. Test to distinguish





Challenge: How to filter irrelevant programs?

1. Test to produce output

2. Test to distinguish







Program Synthesis by Type-Guided Abstraction Refinement [Guo et al. 2020]

HOOGIE+ User Study

Type Query: dedup :: Eq a => [a] -> [a]

- Results: 1. \xs -> concat (group xs) 2. $xs \rightarrow head (group xs)$
 - 3. $xs \rightarrow last (group xs)$
 - 4. $xs \rightarrow map head (group xs)$

Challenge: How to help users pick their program?



Type Query: dedup :: Eq a => [a] -> [a]

- Results: 1. \xs -> concat (group xs) 2. $xs \rightarrow head (group xs)$ 3. $xs \rightarrow last (group xs)$ 4. $xs \rightarrow map head (group xs)$

Challenge: How to help users pick their program?

Are any right?





Type Query: dedup :: Eq a => [a] -> [a]

- Results: 1. \xs -> concat (group xs) 2. $xs \rightarrow head$ (group xs)
 - 3. $xs \rightarrow last (group xs)$
 - 4. $xs \rightarrow map head (group xs)$

Challenge: How to help users pick their program?



How are they different?







Type Query: dedup :: Eq a => [a] -> [a]

- Results: 1. \xs -> concat (group xs) 2. $xs \rightarrow head$ (group xs)
 - 3. $xs \rightarrow last (group xs)$
 - 4. $xs \rightarrow map head (group xs)$

Challenge: How to help users pick their program?



How are they different?

What about edge cases?





1		\xs -> concat (group xs)	
2		\xs -> head (group xs)	
N	ew example	XS	output
Edit	Keep example	[0,1]	[0]
Edit	Keep example	[0]	[0]
Edit	Keep example	[]	bottom
		More Examples	
3		\xs -> last (group xs)	
4		\xs -> map head (group xs)	



User-Provided Example

1	\xs -> concat (group xs)			
2		\xs -> head (group xs)		
→ N	ew example	XS	output	
Edit	Keep example	[0,1]	[0]	
Edit	Keep example	[0]	[0]	
Edit	Keep example	[]	bottom	
		More Examples		
3		\xs -> last (group xs)		
4		\xs -> map head (group xs)		



User-Provided Example

Generated Examples

1	\xs -> concat (group xs)	
2	\xs -> head (group xs)	
New example	XS	output
Edit Keep example	[<mark>0</mark> ,1]	[0]
Edit Keep example	▶ [0]	[0]
Edit Keep example	[]	bottom
	More Examples	
3	\xs -> last (group xs)	
4	\xs -> map head (group xs)	



User-Provided Example

Generated Examples

1	\xs -> concat (group xs)	
2	\xs -> head (group xs)	
New example	XS	output
Edit Keep example	[0,1]	[0]
Edit Keep example	▶ [0]	[0]
Edit Keep example	[]	bottom
	More Examples	
3	\xs -> last (group xs)	
4	\xs -> map head (group xs)	



User-Provided Example

Generated Examples

Documentation

1	\xs -> concat (group xs)	
2	\xs -> head (group xs)	
New example	XS	output
Edit Keep example	[0,1]	[0]
Edit Keep example	→ [0]	group :: Eq a => [a] ·
Edit Keep example	The group function takes a list and returns such that the concatenation of the result is Moreover, each sublist in the result contain example, More Ex >>> group "Mississippi" ["M","i","ss","i","ss","i","pp","i"] It is a special case of groupBy, which allow supply their own equality test. \xs -> last (group xs)	
4	\xs -> map head (group xs)	
15		





Program Synthesis by Type-Guided Abstraction Refinement [Guo et al. 2020]





User Study

solve their program search tasks, compared to traditional methods?

RQ 1

Does our synthesizer help functional programmers

User Study

Does our synthesizer help functional programmers solve their program search tasks, compared to traditional methods?

RQ 2 How do Hoogle+ users specify their search intent?

RQ 1



















30 Participants

Beginner (<1 year)

Description:

Function dedup takes ...

Description:

Function dedup takes ...

```
Example:
dedup "00PSLA20" = "0PSLA20"
```

Description:

Function dedup takes ...

```
Example:
dedup "00PSLA20" = "0PSLA20"
```

50

Description:

Function dedup takes ...

```
Example:
dedup "00PSLA20" = "0PSLA20"
```

Hoogλe

Description:

Function dedup takes ...

```
Example:
dedup "00PSLA20" = "0PSLA20"
```

Hoogλe

Hoogle+

Description:

Function dedup takes ...

```
Example:
dedup "00PSLA20" = "0PSLA20"
```

Hoogλe Hoogle+

dedup xs = ...

Completion Rate

Results

Time-to-complete




Hoogle+

52



Hoogle+





Completion Rate



Results

Time-to-complete



Completion Rate



Results

Time-to-complete





Completion Rate



Results

Time-to-complete







Task A



Results Time-to-complete



Results Time-to-complete

90 second improvement



Results Time-to-complete

Input Types

Output Types

90 second improvement





Results



Type Only

Eq a => [a] -> [a]

Example Specifications:

arg0

output



Type Only

Eq a => [a] -> [a]

Example Specifications:

arg0

output



Test + Type

Eq a => [a] -> [a]

Example Specifications:

arg0

[1,2,1,1]



output



Clear E

Type Only 19%

Eq a => [a] -> [a]

Example Specifications:

arg0

output





Clear E

output

[1, 2, 1]

Type Only 19%



Test Included 39%

Type Only 19%



Type Only 19%



Test Included 27%

Type Only 19%



Test Included 27%



Test Included 27%

Which type looks right to you?

To help us give you the best results, help us narrow down the type signature. Please select one of the following:

x:[a] -> [a]

(**Eq** a) => x:[a] -> [a]

(**Ord** a) => x:[a] -> [a]

Search





×



Type-Guided Abstraction Refinement [Guo et al. 2020]











- Hoogle+ empowers users to complete more API-search focused tasks, faster





- Hoogle+ empowers users to complete more API-search focused tasks, faster
- Infer likely types from tests





- Hoogle+ empowers users to complete more API-search focused tasks, faster
- Infer likely types from tests
- Filter away irrelevant programs





- Hoogle+ empowers users to complete more API-search focused tasks, faster
- Infer likely types from tests
- Filter away irrelevant programs
- Autogenerated comprehension examples



Types of searches by experience



Experience Level

Mean



Expected **1** type variable

Filtering Eval



name